

REMARKS

Claim Rejections

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Ling (U.S. 4,803,856). Claims 3 and 4 are objected to as being depend upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Drawings

Applicant proposes to amend Figures 1-3A, as illustrated in red on the attached photocopies. In Figures 1-3A it is proposed to add the label --PRIOR ART--. No "new matter" has been added to the original disclosure by the proposed amendments to these figures. It is believed the foregoing proposed amendments obviate the outstanding objections to the drawings. Approval of the proposed drawing changes is respectfully requested.

It is noted that no Patent Drawing Review (Form PTO-948) was received with the outstanding Office Action. Thus, except for the foregoing proposed amendments, Applicant must assume that the drawings are acceptable as filed.

Claim Amendments

By this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claims 1-2 and has amended claims 3-4. It is believed that amended claims 3-4 specifically set forth each element of Applicant's invention in full compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112.

The Examiner has indicated that claims 3-4 would be allowed if rewritten in independent form. Applicant's amended claim 3 comprises a combination of original claims 1 and 3, thus redrafting claim 3 in independent form. Applicant's amended claim 4 comprises a combination of original claims 1, 2 and 4, thus redrafting claim 4 in independent form. In the absence of any art cited against Applicant's original claims 3 or 4, it is not believed that any detailed discussion of the cited prior art references is necessary. Suffice to say that all of the claims remaining in this patent application contain subject matter against which no prior art citations have been made.

Summary

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. Should any points remain in issue, which the Examiner feels could best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, it is urged that Applicant's local attorney be contacted at the exchange listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 1, 2004

By:



Bruce H. Troxell
Reg. No. 26,592

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
5205 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1404
Falls Church, Virginia 22041
Telephone: 703 575-2711
Telefax: 703 575-2707